

AL-FARABI KAZAKH NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
Faculty of Philology
Department of Foreign Philology and Translation Studies

PROGRAM OF FINAL EXAMINATION IN THE DISCIPLINE

Code: IYaP 5202
ID 12512

«Language for specific purposes»

Educational programme "7M03201 Data Journalism", "7M03202 Journalism"
Master degree programme

Course – 1
Semester – 1
Number of credits – 5

Almaty 2023

Program of final examination in the discipline «Foreign Language professional» is developed by senior teacher Iskakova G.N.

It is based on the working curriculum on educational programme "**7M03201 Data Journalism**", "**7M03202 Journalism**"

Considered and recommended at the meeting of the department of Foreign Philology and Translation Studies
Protocol № _____, _____, 2023

Head of Department _____ M.M. Aimagambetova

1. THE THEMATIC PROGRAM OF THE DISCIPLINE

The aim of the discipline: to form the ability to communicate effectively in the professional, social and academic spheres of communication, to work with various types of texts.

Learning outcomes in the discipline:

- apply the norms of literary language in theory and practice, achieve a professionally-oriented level of training in the use of foreign languages for the purposes of professional communication, be able to read and extract the necessary information from authentic texts of various genres.
- distinguish the characteristics of academic writing in a foreign language by studying specific examples of academic style
- to develop the ability to summarize and critically evaluate the results obtained by foreign studies, to identify and formulate relevant academic issues.
- Evaluate knowledge and skills in business communication.

Main topics studied in the discipline.

MODULE 1 Human resources

- 1 PC 1. Q and A. Revision:question formation
- 2 PC 2. Working out meaning from context. Intonation, stress and rhythm in questions
- 3 PC 3. Auxiliary verbs. Personality
- 4 PC 4. National stereotypes and myths?
- 5 PC 5. Passive (all forms). Crime and punishment

MODULE 2 Human sustainability

- 6 PC 6. Future Perfect and Future Continuous. Stormy weather. Taking a risk
- 7 PC 7. Unreal conditionals. Feelings. Would you get out alive. Past Modals
- 8 PC 8. The psychology of Music. Gerunds and infinitives
- 9 PC 9. Reporting verbs. Breaking news.
- 10 PC 10. Articles. Collocation: word pairs. Uncountable and plural nouns. Towns and cities.

MODULE 3 Invention and innovation

- 11 PC 11. Science, Changing stress in word families
- 12 PC 12. Structures after wish. Sentence rhythm
- 13 PC 13. Relative clauses. Prefixes. Word stress. The story behind the words
- 14 PC 14. A world without time and number
- 15 PC 15. Vocabulary and Pronunciation. Prefixes and word stress.

List of recommended sources.

1.New English File Upper-Intermediate, 4th edition, Oxford, 2019

1. English for journalists. BSU Series “English for Specific Purposes”. Минск БГУ, 2008
2. Inside Reading. The Academic Word List in Context. By Arline Burgmeier. Oxford, 2009.
3. Academic Writing from Paragraph to Essay. By Dorothy E.Zemach and Lisa A.Rumisek. Macmillan Press, 2010.
4. N.Nikulshina, O.Glivenkova. English for researchers. TSTU Publishing House, 2009. – 100 p
5. Sam McCarter, Norman Whitby. Improve Your IELTS Reading Skills. Macmillan, 2007.
- 6 Касаткина Т.Ю. English for Design Students: учеб.пособие. Ижевск:Изд-во «Удмуртский университет», 2013. 145 с.

Additional

- 1Абдыгаппарова С.К. Культурное многообразие. Практикум по межкультурной коммуникации (часть 1). Учебное пособие на английском языке. Алматы:КазУМОиМЯ им.Аблайхана, 2002.
 2. Абдыгаппарова С.К. Язык и культура (часть 2).. Учебное пособие на английском языке. Алматы:КазУМОиМЯ им.Аблайхана, 2002.
 3. English for academic purposes. Kathy Cox, David Hill. Longman, 2004
 4. Г.Х.Исенгалиева, В.А.Юрпольская. Лексико-грамматическое пособие по английскому языку для магистрантов и аспирантов. Алматы. 2005.1. <https://learningenglish.voanews.com>
 2. <https://www.bistroenglish.com>
 3. <https://www.bbc.com>
 4. <https://preply.com>
 5. <https://www.merriam-webster.com>
 6. <https://tedtalks.com>
- SIW literature
<https://english-e-reader.net/level/starter>

2. METHODOLOGICAL INSTRUCTION FOR FINAL EXAMINATION: STANDARD ORAL EXAMINATION (OFFLINE)

2.1. Exam format: Standard oral examination (offline). **Platform:** IS Univer

2.2. The purpose of the oral examination: to demonstrate the learning outcomes, skills and competencies acquired during the study of the discipline, the ability to logically express one's thoughts out loud, and to argue one's point of view.

2.3. Expected results of the exam tasks:

One oral exam ticket contains 3 questions that identify learning outcomes for the studied course and are assessed according to the following criteria:

Question 1 - Criterion 1. Knowledge of the theory and concept of the course. Criterion 2. Understanding and confirmation with examples of the theoretical principles presented in the course content.

Question 2 - Criterion 3. Application of the selected methodology and technology to specific practical tasks. Criterion 4. Disclosure and solution of the main problem given in the practical task.

Question 3 - Criterion 5. Evaluation and critical analysis of the applicability of the chosen methodology to the proposed practical task. Criterion 6. Justification of the obtained result from one's own practice; ability to conduct scientific discussions.

2.4. The examination procedure.

2.4.1. The standard offline oral exam is conducted in accordance with the approved schedule.

2.4.2. The duration of the oral examination should not exceed 6 academic hours per day.

However, no more than 25 people per day are allowed to take the oral exam.

2.4.3. No more than 5 examinees may be present in the room where the oral examination is being conducted at the same time. The remaining examinees of the current group await an individual invitation outside the exam room without leaving the faculty building.

2.4.4. When entering the exam room, the student must provide the examiner with an identification card and sign the appearance form.

2.4.5. Standing up and/or changing places, or leaving the classroom before completing your answer to the ticket during the exam is prohibited.

2.4.6. When conducting an oral examination, the examination card is chosen by the examinee himself.

2.4.7. In preparation for the answer, the student is given sheets for compiling a summary of the answer. The time for students to prepare an oral response is 10 minutes. To defend the answer, the student speaks in front of the examiner for no more than 5 minutes.

2.4.8. After announcing his last name, the student begins his answer on the ticket. Each question is scored based on the maximum possible points indicated in the questionnaire.

2.4.9. In order to more deeply ascertain the student's level of knowledge, the examiner has the right to ask him additional questions, as well as offer tasks and examples within the framework of the questions on the exam card.

2.4.10. During the exam, students are PROHIBITED from carrying and/or using cheat sheets, cell phones, smart watches and other technical and other means that can be used for unauthorized access to auxiliary information.

2.4.11. If a student appears for the exam and refuses to answer the ticket, passing the exam will be graded as an "F."

2.4.12. If there is no good reason, failure to appear for the exam will be assessed as an "F".

2.4.13. If a student violates one or more of these points, an act of cancellation of the examination work (hereinafter referred to as the Act) is filled out, and a grade of "F" ("unsatisfactory") is assigned for the discipline.

2.4.14. For repeated violation of these Rules during the exam, the student is presented for consideration by the Faculty Council on Ethics.

2.4.15. All violations during exams are recorded in the student's transcript.

3. EVALUATION POLICY.

RUBRICATOR FOR CRITERIAL ASSESSMENT OF FINAL EXAMINATION

Discipline: Language for academic purposes. **Form:** Standard oral examination (offline). **Platform:** IS Univer

№	Score Criterion	DESCRIPTORS				
		«Excellent»	«Good»	«Satisfactory»	«Unsatisfactory»	
		90-100 %	70-89 %	50-69 %	25-49%	0-24 %
Question 1	Criterion 1. Knowledge of the theory and concepts of the course.	Student knows the theory and concepts of the course fully; the answer is presented in literate scientific language, all terms and concepts were used correctly and explained correctly.	In general, the correct answer was given to the question, but with some inaccuracies that are not of a fundamental nature. Not all terms of the course are used correctly; there are some incorrect statements and grammatical/stylistic errors in presentation.	The answer to the question is fragmentary; correct conclusions were interspersed with incorrect ones. The substantive blocks of the course necessary for a full disclosure of the topic were missed.	The answer did not correspond to the content of the question; the significant mistakes were found.	There is no answer to the question; ignorance of educational material was revealed.
	Criterion 2. Understanding and confirmation with examples of the theoretical principles presented in the course content.	A comprehensive answer with illustrated examples was given to the question; the answer is presented in literate scientific language, all terms and concepts are used correctly and explained correctly.	The answer was not sufficiently illustrated by examples.	The student generally understands the subject matter of the course, but has problems uncovering specific issues.	Key concepts for the training course contained in the questions are interpreted incorrectly.	Student's misunderstanding of most or most important part educational material. Violation of the Rules for Conducting the Final examination.
Question 2	Criterion 3. Application of the selected methodology and technology to specific practical tasks	The technology and methodology of the course were applied with deep content, taking into account the specifics of the students' training area.	The course methodology and the knowledge acquired by the student were poorly integrated and adapted to the solution of specific practical tasks proposed in the exam card.	The course tools were used superficially and differ low content, there are inaccuracies in the answer, the logic of presentation is broken.	Student incorrectly applied the essential part of the discipline, makes significant factual errors that the student cannot correct on his own.	Student's inability to apply knowledge to solve assignments and explain course phenomena. When answering (one question), he makes more than 3-4 gross mistakes, which he cannot correct even with the help of a teacher.
	Criterion 4. Disclosure and solution of the main problem given in the practical task	Scientific concepts were freely applied to the task at hand, followed by a logical and evidence-based disclosure of the main problem.	The student's knowledge was adapted; the answers are weak structured, the answer contains minor factual errors, which he can correct independently, thanks to a leading question.	Lack of meaningfulness of the provided material, there is no understanding of interdisciplinary connections.	Student finds it difficult to answer most of the additional questions on the content of the exam or does not give the correct answers.	Student did not fully understand the material. Violation of the Rules for final control.
Question 3	Criterion 5. Evaluating and critically analyzing the applicability of the chosen methodology to	Possessing the ability to critically analyze, integrate, validity and analysis of methods and technology on a specific topic, structuring the answer, analysis of the provisions of existing theories, scientific schools, directions on	Integration and critical analysis of the application of methods and course technology followed by the use of visual materials to consolidate one's reasoning through the use of scientific concepts with the allowance of	Superficial justification of the patterns and principles of the course.	Lack of validity and analysis of the application of methods and technology of the course.	Lack of critical analysis of the applicability of the methodology to the proposed task.

	the proposed practical task.	the issue of the exam card.	minor errors when reproducing knowledge.			
	<i>Criterion 6.</i> Justification of the result obtained from one's own practice; ability to conduct scientific discussions	The answers were illustrated with examples and visuals. materials, including from the student's own practice; student demonstrated the ability to conduct dialogue and engage in scientific discussion.	Analysis of 3-4 provisions of existing theories, scientific schools and directions with justification of the result obtained from one's own practice on the question of the exam card with some inaccuracies.	There was poor application of the main volume of material in accordance with the training program with difficulties in reproducing it independently and the requirement of leading questions.	There was demonstration of difficulty in providing answers to questions of a reproductive nature.	Lack of ability to apply course methods when giving examples was revealed. Violation of the Rules for final examination.

Formula for calculating the final grade:

Final grade (FG) = (%1+%2+%3+%4+%5+%6) / K, where % is the level of task completion by criterion, K is the total number of criteria.

Example of calculating the final grade

№	Score	«Excellent»	«Good»	«Satisfactory»	«Unsatisfactory»	
		90-100 %	70-89%	50-69%	25-49%	0-24%
1.	Criterion 1	100				
2.	Criterion 2		75			
3.	Criterion 3			60		
4.	Criterion 4				45	
5.	Criterion 5	100				
6.	Criterion 6				49	
	Final %	200	75	60	94	200+ 75 + 60 + 94 = 429 429 / 6 criteria = 71,5 Final score, as % = 72

Based on percentage obtained during the calculation, we can compare the score with the rating scale.

72 points range from 70 points to 89 points, which corresponds to the “Good” category according to the grading scale.

Thus, with this calculation, the project will be rated **72 points “Good”** in accordance with the point-rating letter system for assessing educational achievements students with their transfer to the traditional grading scale and ECTS.

the proposed practical task.	the issue of the exam card.	minor errors when reproducing knowledge.	There was poor application of the main volume of material in accordance with the training program with difficulties in reproducing it independently and the requirement of leading questions.	There was demonstration of difficulty in providing answers to questions of a reproductive nature.	Lack of ability to apply course methods when giving examples was revealed. Violation of the Rules for final examination.
<i>Criterion 6.</i> Justification of the result obtained from one's own practice; ability to conduct scientific discussions	The answers were illustrated with examples and visuals, materials, including from the student's own practice; student demonstrated the ability to conduct dialogue and engage in scientific discussion.	Analysis of 3-4 provisions of existing theories, scientific schools and directions with justification of the result obtained from one's own practice on the question of the exam card with some inaccuracies.			

Formula for calculating the final grade:

Final grade (FG) = (%1+%2+%3+%4+%5+%6) / K, where % is the level of task completion by criterion, K is the total number of criteria.

Example of calculating the final grade

№	Score	«Unsatisfactory»				
		«Excellent»	«Good»	«Satisfactory»	0-24%	
Criterion		90-100 %	70-89%	50-69%	25-49%	
1. Criterion 1	100					
2. Criterion 2			75			
3. Criterion 3				60		
4. Criterion 4					45	
5. Criterion 5	100					
6. Criterion 6					49	
Final %	200	75	60	94		
						200+ 75 + 60 + 94 = 429 429 / 6 criteria = 71,5 Final score, as % = 72

Based on percentage obtained during the calculation, we can compare the score with the rating scale.

72 points range from 70 points to 89 points, which corresponds to the "Good" category according to the grading scale.

Thus, with this calculation, the project will be rated **72 points "Good"** in accordance with the point-rating letter system for assessing educational achievements students with their transfer to the traditional grading scale and ECTS.

Lecturer

Iskakova G.N.

